

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,558	09/30/2003	Bruce D. Lawrey	Mo-6576/MD-00-47-PU	Mo-6576/MD-00-47-PU 6530	
157 7:	590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC			SERGENT, RABON A		
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	10/675,558	LAWREY, BRUCE D.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rabon Sergent	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		L
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection		• •
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	or (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.		
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	f publication fee, if applicable, within 5).	the statutory period of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	received on (with a Certificate riod for payment of the issue fee (an	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim</li> </ol>	ence rendered on and because ns.	e the period for seeking court review
. ☐ The reason(s) below:		
	,	Rabon Sergent
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw	w the holding of abandonment under 37 C	

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)